

LAWRENCE A. ORGAN (SBN 175503)
larry@civilrightsca.com
NAVRUZ AVLONI (SBN 279556)
navruz@civilrightsca.com
CIMONE A. NUNLEY (SBN 326915)
cimone@civilrightsca.com
CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROUP
332 San Anselmo Avenue
San Anselmo, California 94960
Telephone: (415) 453-7352
Facsimile: (415) 785-7352

J. Bernard Alexander (SBN 128307)
balexander@amflp.com
ALEXANDER MORRISON + FEHR LLP
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 394-0888
Facsimile: (310) 394-0811

Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
DEMETRIC DI-AZ and OWEN DIAZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

DEMETRIC DI-AZ, OWEN DIAZ, AND
LAMAR PATTERSON,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TESLA, INC. DBA TESLA MOTORS, INC.;
CITISTAFF SOLUTIONS, INC.; WEST
VALLEY STAFFING GROUP;
CHARTWELL STAFFING SERVICES,
INC.; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO

**PLAINTIFF'S REVISED
DESIGNATIONS OF ANNALISA
HEISEN DEPOSITION
TESTIMONY WITH
DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS/
COUNTERDESIGNATIONS**

Trial Date; September 24, 2021
Complaint Filed: October 16, 2017

Plaintiff hereby submits revised designations for playing the deposition of Annalisa Heisen. Due to the time constraints, Plaintiff hereby submits these revisions from Docket No. 265 which were filed on September 28, 2021.

Heinsen, Annalisa 05/29/19, Volume 1

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
1	8:10-12	10 MR. ORGAN: Q. Good morning. Could you 11 please state your full name for the record. 12 A. Annalisa Heisen.	
2	8:15-19	15 Q. Okay. And what's your — you currently work 16 for Tesla; is that right? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. What's your current position there? 19 A. I'm a senior employee relations partner.	
3	10:15-23	15 MR. ORGAN: So Exhibit 143, for the record, is 16 a 44-page document that is Defendants' objections to 17 Plaintiff's sixth amended notice of videotaped 18 deposition of Tesla Inc's person most knowledgeable 19 pursuant to FRCP 30(b)(6). And let's just go through. 20 Q. If you turn to the fourth page, you're the 21 person most knowledgeable relative to topic number 1; 22 is that right? 23 A. Correct.	
4	11:2-4	2 Q. You're the person most knowledgeable relative 3 to topic number 3; is that right? 4 A. Correct.	
5	11:20-12:6	P. 11 20 Q. You're the person most knowledgeable relative 21 to topic number 9? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. You're the person most knowledgeable relative 24 to topic number 10? 25 A. Correct. P. 12 1 Q. You're the person most knowledgeable relative 2 to topic number 11? 3 A. Correct. 4 Q. You're the person most knowledgeable relative 5 to topic number 12? 6 A. Correct.	
6	12:21-23	21 You're the person most knowledgeable relative 22 to topic number 15; is that correct? 23 A. Correct.	
7	16:3-14	3 MR. ORGAN: Q. Tell me about your background. 4 Where did you go to college? 5 A. California State University Northridge. 6 Q. Did you get a degree from there? 7 A. I did. 8 Q. What was your degree?	

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		<p>9 A. Cinema and television arts.</p> <p>10 Q. What year did you get that?</p> <p>11 A. 2012.</p> <p>12 Q. And what was your first job after graduating</p> <p>13 from Cal State Northridge?</p> <p>14 A. Working for Tesla.</p>	
8	17:22- 18:18	<p>P. 17</p> <p>22 Q. What was your next role after being a store</p> <p>23 manager?</p> <p>24 A. I was an associate HR partner.</p> <p>25 Q. And where were you located as an associate HR</p> <p>P. 18</p> <p>1 partner?</p> <p>2 A. Fremont.</p> <p>3 Q. So what year did you start as an associate HR</p> <p>4 partner?</p> <p>5 A. 2016.</p> <p>6 Q. And how long were you an associate HR</p> <p>7 partner?</p> <p>8 A. Five or six months, approximately.</p> <p>9 Q. What was your next job with Tesla?</p> <p>10 A. HR partner.</p> <p>11 Q. And how long were you an HR partner?</p> <p>12 A. Six to eight months, approximately.</p> <p>13 Q. Who did you report to as an HR partner?</p> <p>14 A. I had multiple managers at that time. They</p> <p>15 changed.</p> <p>16 Q. So this would be 2016 or 2017 when you were</p> <p>17 promoted to HR partner?</p> <p>18 A. That would be early 2017. 2017.</p>	
9	19:20- 20:17	<p>P. 19</p> <p>20 Q. Now, at some point you were promoted from HR</p> <p>21 partner; is that correct?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. What was your next position at Tesla?</p> <p>24 A. Employee relations partner.</p> <p>25 Q. What's the difference between an employee</p> <p>P. 20</p> <p>1 relations partner and an HR partner?</p> <p>2 A. What do you mean by "difference"?</p> <p>3 Q. Are there different job duties between being</p> <p>4 an employee relations partner and an HR partner?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. What is the difference?</p> <p>7 A. I mean, there's several differences. There's</p> <p>8 different roles.</p> <p>9 Q. What are those?</p> <p>10 A. Some of the differences would be that</p> <p>11 employee relations partner solely focuses on</p> <p>12 investigations, whereas HR partners do not.</p>	

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		13 Q. Do HR partners do investigations? 14 A. Occasionally they do. 15 Q. And how long were you an employee relations partner? 16 partner? 17 A. A little over a year, I believe.	
10	20:18-25	18 Q. And then what was your next position after 19 employee relations partner? 20 A. Senior employee relations partner. 21 Q. Senior? 22 A. Senior employee relations partner. 23 Q. When did you receive that promotion? 24 A. About eight months ago. I don't recall 25 exactly.	
11	25:11-26:1	<p>P. 25</p> 11 Q. Okay. What do you remember, in terms of the 12 steps that you were to go through as someone 13 investigating a claim of discrimination or harassment 14 at Tesla? 15 A. From that time? 16 Q. Yes. 17 A. The general steps that might apply, and it 18 really does depend case to case what those steps would 19 be. But generally speaking, we receive a concern, 20 take an intake of that concern, identify witnesses, 21 people who should be interviewed for an investigation, 22 present the information, and partner with legal at 23 that time, as to how to move forward with the 24 investigation process. Conduct interviews, gather 25 evidence, if applicable, and then make a finding based <p>P. 26 Page 26 on what we learn. It's an analysis.</p>	<p>Counter-designation: Rule of completeness. FRE 106.</p> <p>26:2-27:10</p> <p>Q. Okay. Would you agree that every 3 investigation, in terms of investigations done at the 4 Tesla factory, need to be prompt, thorough, and 5 objective? 6 A. That is my understanding of the expectation. 7 Q. Okay. And is it true that Tesla human 8 resources will investigate any claim of discrimination 9 or harassment that is brought to its attention 10 relative to conduct at the factory? 11 A. We have a standard that an investigation will 12 be conducted. Whether a Tesla HR partner conducts 13 that or otherwise, depends case to case. 14 Q. What are the factors as to determining 15 whether a Tesla HR partner will conduct the 16 investigation or not? 17 A. One factor would be who's involved, who 18 complained. 19 Q. And why does that matter? 20 A. We have different employment statuses for</p>

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
			<p>21 individuals on-site.</p> <p>22 Q. What are the different employment statuses</p> <p>23 for individuals who work at the Fremont factory?</p> <p>24 A. I don't have an exhaustive list of types of</p> <p>25 roles.</p> <p>1 Q. What are the ones you remember?</p> <p>2 A. General direct-hire employees, contractors,</p> <p>3 temporary employees.</p> <p>4 Q. Any other categories you can think of?</p> <p>5 A. We occasionally have vendors visit the</p> <p>6 property.</p> <p>7 Q. Any other categories, other than general</p> <p>8 direct-hire employees, contractor, temporary employees</p> <p>9 and vendors, visiting the property?</p> <p>10 A. Not that come to mind</p>
12	26:22-27:20	<p>P. 26</p> <p>22 Q. What are the different employment statuses</p> <p>23 for individuals who work at the Fremont factory?</p> <p>24 A. I don't have an exhaustive list of types of</p> <p>25 roles.</p> <p>P. 27</p> <p>1 Q. What are the ones you remember?</p> <p>2 A. General direct-hire employees, contractors,</p> <p>3 temporary employees.</p> <p>4 Q. Any other categories you can think of."</p> <p>5 A. We occasionally have vendors visit the</p> <p>6 property.</p> <p>7 Q. Any other categories, other than general</p> <p>8 direct-hire employees, contractor, temporary employees</p> <p>9 and vendors, visiting the property?</p> <p>10 A. Not that come to mind.</p> <p>11 Q. Now, there is a job category of persons</p> <p>12 working at the Tesla factory, called production</p> <p>13 associates; is that correct?</p> <p>14 A. That's my understanding.</p> <p>15 Q. And some of the production associates are</p> <p>16 general direct-hire employees; is that correct?</p> <p>17 A. Correct.</p> <p>18 Q. And then some of the production associates</p> <p>19 are contractor employees; is that correct?</p> <p>20 A. That's my understanding.</p>	

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
13	28:4-15	<p>4 Q. But in terms of a different classification of</p> <p>5 production associate, in terms of job duties, you're</p> <p>6 not aware of any specific differences between the job</p> <p>7 duties of production associates who are general direct</p> <p>8 hires, versus contractors; is that correct?</p> <p>9 A. I'm not aware of specific differences in that</p> <p>10 production associate role.</p> <p>11 Q. And the production associates work throughout</p> <p>12 the factory; is that correct, throughout the Fremont</p> <p>13 factory?</p> <p>14 A. They work in different departments, different</p> <p>15 areas in the factory, under that same title.</p>	<p>Counter-designation: Rule of completeness. FRE 106.</p> <p>27:21-28:3</p> <p>Q. In terms of the job duties that -- for</p> <p>22 production associates who are contractors versus</p> <p>23 general direct-hire employees, is there a functional</p> <p>24 difference between what those different employees do,</p> <p>25 the contractors versus the general direct hires who</p> <p>1 are production associates?</p> <p>2 A. I would assume that that varies between</p> <p>3 departments.</p>
14	29:9-18	<p>9 Q. How about this: In terms of Tesla's policies</p> <p>10 on discrimination or harassment, those policies apply</p> <p>11 to ever worker in the factory; correct?</p> <p>12 A. We have an expectation that everyone working</p> <p>13 in that factory location are meeting those standards</p> <p>14 of that policy.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And that's true whether an employee is</p> <p>16 a general direct-hire employee or whether they're a</p> <p>17 contractor or a vendor; correct?</p> <p>18 A. Correct. The expectation is the same.</p>	
15	33:4-8	<p>4 Q. Well, you understand that you're testifying</p> <p>5 today as the person most knowledgeable on the policies</p> <p>6 and procedures related to race harassment in effect</p> <p>7 from 2014 to present; right? You understand that?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p>	
16	35:5-16	<p>5 Q. You would agree that under the policies that</p> <p>6 Tesla has, the antidiscrimination policies, that every</p> <p>7 employee has a duty to try and prevent harassment</p> <p>8 based on race from occurring; right?</p> <p>9 A. Yeah, the policy states as much.</p> <p>10 Q. And similarly, pursuant to Tesla's policies,</p> <p>11 every contractor employee has a duty to prevent</p> <p>12 harassment based on race from occurring; right?</p> <p>13 MS. JENG: Objection. The policy speaks for</p> <p>14 itself.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Yes, the expectation is the</p> <p>16 same.</p>	Designation is incorrect. The text provided for designation 16 actually occurs at 31:5-16 .
17	43:2-11	<p>2 Q. Have you ever done an investigation into a</p> <p>3 claim of the use of the "N" word at the Tesla factory?</p> <p>4 A. Myself?</p> <p>5 Q. Yeah.</p> <p>6 A. I believe so.</p> <p>7 Q. Which part of the factory did that relate to?</p>	Deponent not designated under Rule 30(b)(6) to provide testimony about investigations <i>she</i> conducted. Deponent did not join Tesla HR until July or August 2016 <i>many months after</i> Plaintiff was assigned

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		<p>8 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>9 Q. Have you done more than one investigation</p> <p>10 into use of the "N" word at the Tesla factory?</p> <p>11 A. It's possible.</p>	to work at Tesla and accordingly testimony about investigations in an unknown part of factory beyond the time Plaintiff was at Tesla are irrelevant, unduly prejudicial and would confuse the jury. FRE 401-403.
18	44:25-45:03	<p>P. 44</p> <p>25 Q. So legal's involved in the investigation</p> <p>P. 45</p> <p>1 process, then, is that right, into racial claims of</p> <p>2 racial harassment?</p> <p>3 A. In general, yes.</p>	<p>Testimony regarding involvement of legal not relevant and would confuse the jury. FRE 401-403.</p> <p>If permitted, counter-designation under the rule of completeness FRE 106: 44:9-14</p> <p>MR ORGAN: Q. Don't tell me about the</p> <p>10 communications. Just tell me, what is the role that</p> <p>11 legal plays relative to an investigation that you</p> <p>12 conduct into use of the "N" word?</p> <p>13 A. It depends on the case. It does vary case to</p> <p>14 case.</p>
19	45:24-46:05	<p>P. 45</p> <p>24 Exhibit 5, for the record, I believe it's a</p> <p>25 four page document, Bates stamped Tesla 211 to 214.</p> <p>P. 46</p> <p>1 It's entitled the "Tesla Anti handbook Handbook."</p> <p>2 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 5?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. What is Exhibit 5?</p> <p>5 A. It's the anti handbook handbook.</p>	
20	53:4-13	<p>4 Q. In terms of "stupid stuff," that part of</p> <p>5 Exhibit 5, that applies to all employees, whether</p> <p>6 they're regular employees or contractors, right, at</p> <p>7 the Tesla factory?</p> <p>8 A. My understanding is that there's an</p> <p>9 expectation that both contractors and employees would</p> <p>10 adhere to it.</p> <p>11 Q. Would adhere to that part of the policy;</p> <p>12 correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p>	
21	54:1-5	<p>1 And then, in terms of resolving concerns</p> <p>2 that part of the handbook, the anti handbook handbook,</p> <p>3 applies to both contractors and regular employees too;</p> <p>4 correct?</p> <p>5 A. I believe so.</p>	<p>Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106.</p> <p>53:20-25</p>

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
			<p>20 Q. And then in terms of "moral of the story, the</p> <p>21 theme of the above standards is simple: Just behave</p> <p>22 like the sort of person you want as your coworker,"</p> <p>23 that's something that applies to both contractors and</p> <p>24 regular employees alike, correct, that principle?</p> <p>25 A. I believe s</p>
22	62:15-63:04	<p>P. 62</p> <p>15 Q. So my question is whether or not Tesla's code</p> <p>16 of conduct, business conduct, applies to contractors</p> <p>17 who work at the Tesla factory, relative to</p> <p>18 discrimination and harassment policies contained in</p> <p>19 the code of conduct. Does it or doesn't it?</p> <p>20 MS. JENG: She's already asked and answered.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that we</p> <p>22 have that expectation that contractors who are</p> <p>23 employees of agencies are complying with these</p> <p>24 guidelines.</p> <p>25 MR. ORGAN: Q. "These guidelines" being</p> <p>P. 63</p> <p>1 Tesla's code of business conduct relative to</p> <p>2 discrimination and harassment, correct?</p> <p>3 A. Right. The information that's articulated in</p> <p>4 here.</p>	<p>Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106.</p> <p>61:19-62:3</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. In terms of -- let's go to Item 6;</p> <p>20 which is on Tesla 846.</p> <p>21 In terms of discrimination and harassment</p> <p>22 components of the code of conduct, those rules or</p> <p>23 policies apply to both contractors and employees</p> <p>24 alike, correct, at the Tesla factory?</p> <p>25 A. My understanding is that we have expectation</p> <p>1 that contractors who are employed by agencies are also</p> <p>2 in compliance with laws regarding discrimination and</p> <p>3 harassment.</p>
23	72:3-18	<p>3 MR. ORGAN: Q. Okay. Let's go through</p> <p>4 Exhibit 150.</p> <p>5 Now, as to this policy, the antiharassment</p> <p>6 and discrimination policy, this policy would apply to</p> <p>7 all workers at the Tesla factory; correct?</p> <p>8 MS. JENG: Objection; vague and ambiguous and</p> <p>9 calls for speculation.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: There's an expectation that</p> <p>11 employees at Tesla as well as contractors and other</p> <p>12 people on-site are in compliance with the policy.</p> <p>13 MR. ORGAN: Q. So Exhibit 150 does apply to</p> <p>14 both contractors and regular employees, then; right?</p> <p>15 MS. JENG: Objection; asked and answered.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: There's an expectation that</p> <p>17 both of those categories of workers would be in</p> <p>18 compliance with those articulated.</p>	<p>Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106. If counter-designation not granted, Tesla designates 87:9-88:5:</p> <p>9 Q. In terms of the training, we talked a little</p> <p>10 bit about training before we broke for lunch, but in</p> <p>11 terms of training of Tesla's contract workers, is</p> <p>12 there any specific training that Tesla does with the</p> <p>13 contract workers to inform them of Tesla's</p> <p>14 antiharassment or discrimination policy?</p>

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
			<p>15 A. My understanding is that -- 16 so agencies will 17 do their own new-hire 18 orientation for their 19 contractors or employees, and 20 then contractors will go 21 through something that Tesla 22 has called "Manufacturing 23 Essentials," and in that, my 24 understanding is that 25 they cover Tesla's 26 antiharassment and 27 discrimination 28 policy.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So if I have this right, 23 Tesla's 24 antiharassment and 25 discrimination policies are covered 26 in a training session entitled 27 "Manufacturing 28 Essentials"; is that correct?</p> <p>1 A. That's my understanding. 2 Q. How long does the 3 Manufacturing Essentials 4 training last? 5 A. Overall, I believe it's two 6 days, covering a 7 wide variety of topics. The 8 policy is one of them.</p>
24	75:6-16	<p>6 Q. So your understanding is that the contracting 7 agencies are supposed to train their employees who 8 were working at the Tesla factory, on Tesla's 9 antiharassment and discrimination policy; correct? 10 MR. ARANEDA: Misstates prior testimony. 11 THE WITNESS: So, there's an expectation that 12 they're being trained by their staffing agencies on 13 antiharassment and discrimination, but this -- not 14 this policy specifically. I'm not sure what they're 15 doing. I couldn't confirm it they're training this 16 policy, since they're employees.</p>	<p>Counter designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106.</p> <p>74:24-75:5</p> <p>24 Q. And as to contract employees, 25 are contract 26 employees also trained on 27 Tesla's antiharassment and 28 discrimination policy? 2 A. My understanding is that the 3 agencies who 4 employ the contractors are 5 doing training on policies 6 and compliance. I don't have 7 visibility into their 8 processes.</p> <p>75:17-76:17</p> <p>17 MR ORGAN: Q. What steps does Tesla take to</p>

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
			<p>18 ensure that contractors who come into and work in the</p> <p>19 Tesla factory in Fremont have training relative to the</p> <p>20 topic of antiharassment and discrimination?</p> <p>21 A. We have an expectation that agencies are</p> <p>22 training on antiharassment and discrimination.</p> <p>23 Q. And what is that expectation based on?</p> <p>24 A. That they're legally compliant.</p> <p>25 Q. In terms of Tesla's expectation, is there an</p> <p>1 expectation that contract employees who work at the</p> <p>2 Fremont factory are trained on Tesla's antiharassment</p> <p>3 and discrimination policies or their equivalent?</p> <p>4 MS. JENG: Objection; vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>5 And you're talking about all workers, regardless of</p> <p>6 department?</p> <p>7 MR ORGAN: Yeah.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by</p> <p>9 "equivalent"?</p> <p>10 MR ORGAN: Q. Well, Tesla doesn't provide a</p> <p>11 copy of its antiharassment and discrimination policy</p> <p>12 to contract workers; is that true?</p> <p>13 A. It is available to contract workers.</p> <p>14 Q. So contract workers have access to Tesla's</p> <p>15 antiharassment and discrimination policy; is that</p> <p>16 right?</p> <p>17 A. That is correct.</p>
25	76:18-22	<p>18 Q. And so at least in terms of Tesla's</p> <p>19 expectations, Tesla's expectations are that even</p> <p>20 contract workers should be aware of Tesla's</p> <p>21 antiharassment and discrimination policy; correct?</p> <p>22 A. That's my understanding.</p>	
26	77:7-17	<p>7 "QUESTION: And in terms of -- there are some</p> <p>8 reporting and investigation procedures in Exhibit 150,</p>	

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		<p>9 if you look down at the bottom of the page, and then</p> <p>10 over to the second page of Exhibit 150. Those</p> <p>11 reporting and/or investigation principles would still</p> <p>12 apply to employees who are contractors working at the</p> <p>13 Tesla factory; correct?")</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: I don't have visibility into</p> <p>15 what the agencies advise their contractors, as far as</p> <p>16 reporting is concerned. Those guidelines may be</p> <p>17 different, agency to agency.</p>	
27	78:11-15	<p>11 Q. And so that employee of Tesla would have to</p> <p>12 then take some action once they get information about</p> <p>13 discrimination or harassment in the workplace;</p> <p>14 correct?</p> <p>15 A. That's the expectation.</p>	
28	79:7-15	<p>7 Q. So if a Tesla employee gets information about</p> <p>8 harassing conduct based on race in the factory, that's</p> <p>9 occurring in the factory, regardless of how they get</p> <p>10 that information, they then have a reporting duty, in</p> <p>11 terms of either providing that information to a</p> <p>12 higher-level manager or sending it to HR; is that</p> <p>13 true?</p> <p>14 A. There's an expectation of that, as it's</p> <p>15 articulated in the policy.</p>	
29	81:6-81:12	<p>6 Q. Any information relative to a complaint of</p> <p>7 harassment based on race at the Tesla factory, that's</p> <p>8 investigated by HR, Tesla's HR, if it's brought to</p> <p>9 Tesla HR attention; correct?</p> <p>10 A. It depends.</p> <p>11 Q. What does it depend on?</p> <p>12 A. Who's involved in the complaint.</p>	<p>Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106.</p> <p>81:14-21:</p> <p>14 So what if you have a complaint that involves</p> <p>15 different contractors at the factory, how would you go</p> <p>16 about investigating that?</p> <p>17 MS. JENG: Objection; incomplete</p> <p>18 hypothetical.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: It's case by case, given what</p> <p>20 information is presented in the complaint and who's</p> <p>21 involved.</p>
30	82:13-20	<p>13 Q. Tesla has an obligation to the people who</p> <p>14 work at the Fremont factory to ensure that they are in</p> <p>15 a workplace free from harassment based on race; right?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And that's regardless of whether the person</p> <p>18 working there is an employee or a contractor, right,</p> <p>19 that obligation?</p>	<p>Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106.</p> <p>82:21-83:6:</p> <p>21 Q. So in terms of ensuring that workers at the</p> <p>22 Tesla factory are not subject to harassment based on</p> <p>23 race, how does Tesla's HR department ensure that that</p>

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
			<p>24 is the case, if they are delegating investigation processes to non-Tesla employees?</p> <p>1 MS. JENG: Objection; misstates her prior testimony.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: There's still an expectation that these types of concerns that are brought forward will be investigated, but it depends case by case as to how that's approached.</p>
31	83:19-25	<p>19 Q. There are no written procedures that Tesla has for coordinating investigations of allegations of harassment based on race?</p> <p>22 MS. JENG: Objection; misstates her prior testimony.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Not that outline step by step for each of these cases.</p>	<p>Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106.</p> <p>83:11-18</p> <p>11 Q. In terms of Tesla's efforts to ensure that it has a workplace free from harassment based on race, are there any kind of procedures that Tesla has adopted for coordinating investigations into allegations of harassment based on race?</p> <p>16 A. It depends on the case. It varies widely.</p> <p>17 There's not one fixed method that we address that</p> <p>18 with.</p> <p>85:10-21</p> <p>10 Q. How does Tesla ensure that Tesla employees working at the Tesla factory are protected against harassing conduct by contractors who are also working at the Fremont factory?</p> <p>14 A. When the concern is brought about a</p> <p>15 contractor's behavior?</p> <p>16 Q. Yes.</p> <p>17 A. If we're informed and made aware of the</p> <p>18 issue -- depends on the specific circumstances -- we</p>

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
			<p>19 would either ourselves follow up and collaborating 20 with them. There are circumstances that might fall 21 outside of that. It depends, but that's one way.</p> <p>86:7-20</p> <p>7 MR ORGAN: Q. And what about in the 8 situation where the -- assume that the harasser is a 9 supervisor working for a contract agency, harassing 10 another contract employee at the Tesla factory. 11 What's Tesla's role relative to that? 12 MS. JENG: Objection; incomplete 13 hypothetical. And calls for speculation. 14 THE WITNESS: So effectively, if both parties 15 are contractors, if they're either from the same 16 agency or separate agencies, whichever agency 17 representative would need to be looped in, you know, 18 during that process, Tesla would contact them, and 19 they would collaborate with them to determine what 20 next steps needed to be taken for investigation.</p>
32	101:5-22	<p>5 MR. ORGAN: Q. I'm going to show you what's 6 going — this will be 153. 7 Exhibit 153, for the record, is a 8 multiple-page document Bates-stamped Tesla 863 through 9 878. And it appears to be some training relative to 10 Ramon Martinez. 11 Have you seen this document before? 12 A. Yes, I believe so. 13 Q. And this shows - if you look at Tesla 867, 14 this shows "I agree" references to some Tesla 15 policies; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Would this indicate to you that Mr. Martinez 18 was on-boarded as an employee sometime on or after 19 April 20th of 2017?</p>	

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		20 A. I would say that it's possible, based on 21 these documents, that he was on-boarded as a Tesla 22 direct employee.	
33	109:12-15	12 Q. Do you know if Michael Wheeler was ever 13 interviewed as part of the investigation into Owen 14 Diaz's complaint? 15 A. That, I'm not sure of.	
34	110:9-15	9 Q. Do you know whether or not there's a 10 person here mentioned, Israel, who I guess arrived 11 with Michael Wheeler. 12 Do you know whether or not there was any 13 interview of Israel in conjunction with the 14 investigation? 15 A. I'm not sure of that.	
35	110:24-111:4	P. 110 24 Q. And where Mr. Diaz says a little further 25 down, "A person should be able to come to work and not P. 111 1 be harassed or degraded while they're trying to do 2 their job," you would agree that that is — comports 3 with Tesla's policies, doesn't it? 4 A. I agree, yes.	
36	111:5-112:7	P. 111 5. Q. And then Mr. Owen Diaz also says ... he said 6 "It's not the first time that Ramon Martinez has" — I 7 think "has been talked about his behavior," "has been 8 talked to about his behavior." 9 Is that your understanding? 10 MS. JENG: Objection; the document speaks for 11 itself. Misstating the evidence. 12 MR. ORGAN: Q. You understood that Mr. Diaz 13 was complaining that the behavior towards Mr. Diaz was 14 getting worse; right? 15 MS. JENG: Objection; lacks foundation, 16 misstates the evidence. And the document speaks for 17 itself. 18 THE WITNESS: He makes the statement here 19 towards the end of the document. Owen alleges that 20 his behavior is getting worse. 21 MR. ORGAN: Q. "His behavior," being 22 Mr. Martinez, is getting worse. 23 A. Yeah, yeah, Martinez. 24 Q. That is all something you would have 25 expected, the Tesla HR person who got involved, to P. 112 1 take into account when looking into Mr. Diaz's 2 complaint; correct? 3 MS. JENG: Objection; lacks foundation. 4 THE WITNESS: I could say that I would 5 imagine that those items would be taken into account	

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		6 during an investigation, depending on whether it's the 7 Tesla HR person who conducted it or not.	
37	112:8-18	8 MR. ORGAN: Q. What does Tesla do to make 9 sure that its contractor organizations do a thorough 10 and effective investigation? 11 A. In general, when these complaints come to 12 Tesla's attention — these complaints being harassment 13 and discrimination complaints — if they involve 14 contractors, we expect that the Tesla HR person is in 15 communication with the agency. So even if they're not 16 the ones conducting the investigation, they're making 17 sure that the issue is resolved by collaborating with 18 the agency.	Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106. 112:19-113:3 19 Q. And what is the typical way that Tesla HR 20 communicates with the contract agencies? 21 A. What do you mean by "way"? 22 Q. Like email, is that the typical way -- 23 A. Email is one way. Phone, in person. 24 Q. If there are in-person communications, do HR 25 people typically take notes of those interactions with 1 the contracting agencies relative to an investigation? 2 A. Some may. There's not an articulated 3 standard on that. Some do.
38	113:13-25	13 MR. ORGAN: Q. Well, in this situation, 14 Exhibit 39, the complaint was made directly to a Tesla 15 employee; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. A Tesla supervisor. Mr. Romero; right? 18 A. Correct. 19 O. So in that case, Tesla's antiharassment and 20 discrimination policy kicks in; right / Once its 21 supervisor gets knowledge of harassment at the Tesla 22 factory, the Tesla's antiharassment and 23 discrimination policy kicks in; right? 24 A. Mr. Romero would be held to that policy as a 25 direct employee.	Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106.
39	114:18-115:3	P. 114 18 Do you know whether or not any diversity 19 training took place? 20 A. Sorry, at the top of what? 21 Q. First page of Exhibit 39. The very top, last 22 sentence in the email from Victor Quintero to Wayne 23 Jackson. 24 A. And what was your question? 25 Q. Whether or not you're aware of any diversity P. 115 1 training that took place for Ramon Martinez at this 2 time in the 2016 time period.	

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		3 A. At this time, I'm not sure.	
40	115:13-22	13 "QUESTION: Are you aware of any other 14 actions that Tesla took relative to investigating or 15 addressing Owen Diaz's complaint relative to racist 16 conduct that was directed at him by Ramon Martinez?") 17 THE WITNESS: I know that Tesla coordinated 18 and worked with the staffing agencies that were 19 involved to come to a determination based on the 20 interviews or the witness statements that were given, 21 and determine if disciplinary action needs to be 22 taken.	Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106. 115:23-116:10 23 MR. ORGAN: Q. So the determination by both 24 Tesla and the contracting agencies was that 25 Mr. Martinez had engaged in harassing conduct towards 1 Mr. Owen Diaz; correct? 2 A. My understanding is that they found, because 3 Ramon admitted to drawing it, they found that the 4 drawing was written by—that's what they 5 substantiated, was that it was drawn by Ramon. 6 Q. And the finding was that the drawing that was 7 done by Mr. Martinez, which is in Exhibit 39, was 8 inappropriate; right? 9 A. They substantiated that it was offensive to 10 Mr. Diaz.
41	116:11-19	11 Q. So the conclusion of the investigation into 12 Mr. Owen Diaz's complaint about the drawing was that 13 the drawing was offensive to Mr. Diaz; correct? 14 A. They substantiated that Ramon drew the image 15 and that Owen found that image to be offensive. 16 Q. Was there a finding as to whether or not the 17 drawing violated Tesla's antiharassment policy? 18 A. I'm not sure if that was specifically 19 articulated.	Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106. 116:20-117:1 20 Q. What's your best memory? 21 A. This concern, the concern that Owen brought 22 forward and then based on what they substantiated, 23 it's possible that it fell under the umbrella of the 24 antiharassment and discrimination policy, in that it 25 caused offense to somebody who interpreted it as a 1 racist image.
42	118:19-25	19 Q. If we go back to Exhibit 153 and we look at 20 the certifications, does it appear to you that there 21 was any kind of certification that Mr. Martinez got in 22 this time period of January 2016 that might be some 23 kind of training relative to discrimination or 24 harassment?	Testimony is misleading and not relevant. The 30(b)(6) designee testified (100:9-20, 101:7-22) Exhibit 153 is a Tesla document and that Ramon Martinez was <i>not</i> a Tesla employee in 2015 and 2016

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		25 A. Not as recorded in the certifications.	records are from the time period when Ramon Martinez was a <i>Chartwell</i> employee.
43	120:2-4	2 Q. Do you know if anybody else was interviewed, 3 other than Ramon Martinez and Owen Diaz? 4 A. I'm not aware of any additional interviews.	
44	120:5-10	5 Q. Are you aware of any investigation notes that 6 were taken by any investigators relative to an 7 investigation into Mr. Owen Diaz's complaint about 8 Ramon Martinez in January of 2016? 9 A. I'm not aware of any outside of the 10 summarization that occurs in the email threads.	
45	121:18- 122:21	P. 121 18 MR. ORGAN: Q. But an allegation of racial 19 harassment is a serious allegation; right? 20 A. Certainly. 21 Q. And certainly, an allegation where someone 22 has drawn a racial effigy that's offensive to 23 African-Americans, that's something that Tesla takes 24 very seriously; right? 25 A. Yes. P. 122 1 Q. So if such an issue is brought to HR's 2 attention, they want to do a thorough investigation 3 about that allegation; correct? 4 A. Correct. They would make sure that that 5 issue was investigated. 6 Q. One of the things you do as an investigator 7 too is you want to see if there's anything else that 8 has been done that's offensive, in addition to what 9 initially has been complained about; right? 10 A. It depends on the case. Occasionally, we do 11 look beyond what's in the original scope. 12 Q. But in terms of, let's say, with respect to 13 Mr. Diaz, if you go back to his complaint email, which 14 is in Exhibit 39, he references additional behavior or 15 other behavior by Ramon Martinez; right? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. So certainly, a thorough investigation would 18 want to look into what he meant by what additional 19 behavior he's complaining about; right? 20 A. That's a question — or a line of questioning 21 that you might ask.	
46	126:17- 127:6	P. 126 17 Typically, when you have conducted an 18 investigation, there is a document that has, like, 19 findings in it, correct, like a final document or an 20 investigation report, something like that? 21 A. Oftentimes, we generate a final document. 22 Q. Are you aware of any such final document with 23 respect to Mr. Diaz's complaints about Ramon Martinez?	

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		24 A. Not outside of the summarization in the email 25 thread. P. 127 1 Q. But in terms of like a final written report 2 of the conclusions of the investigation, you're not 3 aware of anything like that, correct? 4 MS. JENG: Vague as to "report." 5 THE WITNESS: Not outside of the emails about 6 the subject.	
47	130:4- 130:23	4 Q. And in that complaint that Mr. Owen Diaz 5 made, he complained that Mr. Timbreza used an 6 offensive racial term towards him; is that right? 7 A. What I saw was "offensive racial comment." 8 Q. And the complaint that Mr. Owen Diaz made was 9 to a Tesla lead; is that correct? 10 A. That's my understanding. 11 Q. And the Tesla lead to whom Mr. Diaz 12 complained was Tom Kawasaki; is that right? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And then Mr. Kawasaki followed procedure and 15 elevated Mr. Owen Diaz's complaint to a higher level; 16 is that correct? 17 A. That's my understanding. 18 Q. That complaint then went to Ed Romero; is 19 that right? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. And Ed Romero was the supervisor over the 22 elevator area; is that right?	
48	131:9-13	9 Q. And do you know what happened to 10 Mr. Timbreza, in terms of his employment? 11 A. As far as his employment is concerned? 12 Q. Yes. 13 A. I don't know of anything related to this.	Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106. 131:6-8 6 Q. Judy Timbreza, was that a Tesla employee, or 7 was Judy Timbreza a contract employee? 8 A. A contract employee.
49	131:22- 132:14	P. 131 22 Q. Do you remember what Judy Timbreza said, in 23 terms of his perspective of the interaction with 24 Mr. Owen Diaz? 25 A. I didn't see a specific response from Judy. P. 132 1 Q. Were there any notes taken of the interviews 2 that were -- strike that. 3 Were there any interviews that were conducted 4 as part of an investigation into Mr. Owen Diaz's 5 allegations? 6 A. According to Mr. Romero, he interviewed	Testimony about whether Mr. Romero received standardized training is not relevant and would mislead the jury. FRE 401-403.

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		<p>7 witnesses in the area.</p> <p>8 Q. And was Ed Romero a trained investigator, in</p> <p>9 terms of investigating claims of harassment?</p> <p>10 A. He's the manager in the area, at that time.</p> <p>11 Q. And does Tesla provide its managers with</p> <p>12 training on how to conduct an investigation into</p> <p>13 complaints of race harassment?</p> <p>14 A. Not a standardized training.</p>	
50	133:18- 134:7	<p>P. 133</p> <p>18 MR. ORGAN: Q. But if Tesla has knowledge</p> <p>19 that a contract employee is using an offensive racial</p> <p>20 term towards another contract employee, that is</p> <p>21 something that Tesla wants to get to the bottom of;</p> <p>22 isn't it?</p> <p>23 A. We would want to make sure that there was a</p> <p>24 resolution to the situation.</p> <p>25 Q. And the reason is because other people could</p> <p>P. 134</p> <p>1 hear an offensive racial comment and be offended by</p> <p>2 it, right?</p> <p>3 MS. JENG: Objection; lacks foundation.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Other people being-</p> <p>5 MR. ORGAN: Q. Other Tesla employees; right?</p> <p>6 A. Sure, that anyone on-site would be offended</p> <p>7 by such language.</p>	
51	135:15- 136:1	<p>P. 135</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. So in terms of the timing of the</p> <p>16 complaint, it appears it was the end of July 2015 when</p> <p>17 Mr. Diaz made his complaint to his lead, Tom Kawasaki;</p> <p>18 correct?</p> <p>19 A. Correct.</p> <p>20 Q. You didn't see any investigation notes;</p> <p>21 either from Tesla people or from the contractors;</p> <p>22 relative to their investigation of Mr. Owen Diaz's</p> <p>23 complaint in this July 2015 time frame; correct?</p> <p>24 MS. JENG: Vague as to "notes."</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: I didn't see any documentation</p> <p>P. 136</p> <p>outside of the emails reviewed for this.</p>	
52	146:23- 147:4	<p>P. 146</p> <p>23 Q. In terms of Tesla's policies and procedures</p> <p>24 for Tesla contractors to ensure that your contractors</p> <p>25 enforce Tesla's antiharassment policies is there</p> <p>P. 147</p> <p>1 anything in writing that you have seen that indicates</p> <p>2 what contractors are supposed to do relative to</p> <p>3 enforcing Tesla's antiharassment policies?</p> <p>4 A. Not that I've seen.</p>	
53	147:19- 148:16	<p>P. 147</p> <p>19 Q. It's fair to say that if someone wants to</p>	

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		<p>20 make a complaint to Tesla about the way they're being 21 treated, some kind of harassment, they can do that 22 either in writing or verbally; is that true? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. And if a Tesla employee wants to complain 25 about harassment in the workplace, they can do that by</p> <p>P. 148 1 complaining to either a lead, a supervisor, a manager, 2 or HR; is that true? 3 A. Correct. Or through the integrity hotline. 4 Q. Or to the integrity hotline. And similarly, if a contract employee who's working at the Tesla factory wanted to complain about harassing conduct, they could also complain to their lead supervisor manager or HR; is that true? A. The staffing agencies' HR and other people employed through there, they would be able to speak with them or Tesla staff, or the agency. Q. Actually, a contract employee has more avenues to complain, because they can complain either to their own staffing agency or to Tesla supervisors, managers, or HR people; true? A. That's correct.</p>	
54	148:17-23	<p>17 Q. Now, in terms of steps that Tesla has taken 18 to prevent the use of the "N" word at the Fremont 19 factory, are you aware of any steps that Tesla has 20 taken specifically to address that term? 21 MS. JENG: Objection; lacks foundation. 22 THE WITNESS: Not solely for the purpose of 23 addressing that term.</p>	Testimony is not limited to time Plaintiff worked at Tesla, his supervisors, his alleged harassers or his work area and thus is not relevant, unduly prejudicial and would confuse the jury. FRE 401-403.
55	148:24-150:9	<p>P. 148 24 MR. ORGAN: Q. You're aware that there have 25 been allegations of the use of the "N" word in</p> <p>P. 149 1 different areas of the Fremont factory; is that true? 2 MS. JENG: Objection; lacks foundation. 3 THE WITNESS' My understanding is that we've 4 had investigations where those allegations are made. 5 MR. ORGAN: Q. What is your understanding of 6 the outcome of the investigations regarding use of the 7 "N" word at the Fremont factory? 8 MS. JENG: Objection; lacks foundation and 9 assumes facts not in evidence. 10 THE WITNESS: It depends on the facts of the 11 given investigation. 12 MR. ORGAN: Okay. 13 Q. But you're aware that at least some of the 14 investigations that Tesla has done relative to use of 15 the "N" word at the Fremont factory have resulted in a 16 finding or conclusion that use of the "N" word was</p>	<p>Testimony is not limited to time Plaintiff worked at Tesla, his supervisors, his alleged harassers or his work area and thus is not relevant, unduly prejudicial and would confuse the jury. Testimony is also beyond the scope and topics for which deponent was designated. FRE 401-403.</p> <p>Witness lacks personal knowledge. FRE 602.</p>

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
		17 substantiated at least in parts of the Fremont 18 factory; correct? 19 MS. JENG: Objection; lacks foundation, also 20 outside the scope of the depo notice. 21 MR. ARANEDA: Misstates prior testimony. 22 THE WITNESS: I can only speak to 23 investigations that I've conducted. I can say that I 24 believe we've had ones where it's been substantiated, 25 but I don't know how many. I can't give you a number P. 150 1 on that. 2 MR. ORGAN: Q. So you actually conducted an 3 investigation into the use of the "N" word, where use 4 of the "N" word was substantiated; is that true? 5 MS. JENG: Objection; asked and answered. 6 Also assumes facts not in evidence and misstates her 7 prior testimony. 8 THE WITNESS: I believe I have. I don't 9 remember a specific example of the facts in that case.	
56	155:5-13	5 Q. And certainly, use of the "N" word in any 6 form would violate Tesla's antiharassment policy; 7 right? 8 A. If it was found to be substantiated, then it 9 would potentially violate the policy, yes. 10 Q. Can you think of an instance where use of the 11 "N" word in the Tesla factory would not violate 12 Tesla's antiharassment policy? 13 A. Not specifically, no.	Testimony calls for lay expert opinion and deponent was not designated as an expert witness. FRE 701-702. If testimony is permitted, counter-designation of 150:21-151:9 under the rule of completeness. FRE 106. 150:21-151:9 21 MR ORGAN: Q. When you say Tesla takes 22 allegations of use of the "N" word seriously, what do 23 you mean by that? 24 A. That if that allegation was substantiated, I 25 don't see a circumstance where we wouldn't follow up 1 on that with disciplinary action. 2 Q. And if someone were to have used the "N" 3 word, or there was evidence that showed that someone 4 had used the "N" word in the workplace, that would be 5 a basis for immediate termination; is that true? 6 MS. JENG: Objection; incomplete hypothetical 7 and outside the scope.

#	Lines	Deposition Excerpt	Objection / Counter-designation
			8 THE WITNESS: That's one potential outcome, 9 yes.
57	155:14-17	14 Q. Are you aware of any training modules that 15 nextSource developed for Tesla relative to the issue 16 of diversity, sexual harassment training? 17 A. I'm not familiar with that, no.	Testimony is irrelevant because Plaintiff has no sexual harassment claims and testimony could mislead jury. FRE 401-403.
58	170:9-22	9 MR. ORGAN: Q. Relative to disciplinary 10 action, if Tesla finds or gets information that an 11 employee has engaged in harassing conduct, Tesla will 12 act on that, correct, even if it's a contractor doing 13 the harassing conduct? 14 A. Tesla would partner with the agency and 15 collaborate with them to come up with an action. 16 Q. And you would agree that Tesla, with respect 17 to any contract employee, can ask the contracting 18 agency not to send that individual to the Tesla 19 factory; right? 20 A. They can say that that person is no longer 21 allowed on the property, or request that the contract 22 with Tesla end.	Counter-designation. Rule of completeness. FRE 106. 169:7-18 Q. When you previously testified that you did 8 not know whether the employee policies against 9 discrimination and harassment, quote, "applied 10 equally," unquote, to contract workers and employees, 11 what did you mean by that? 12 A. The standards that are outlined for 13 expectations of employee behavior and conduct do apply 14 equally. But there's also verbiage in this policy 15 regarding disciplinary action, up to and including 16 termination, and that would be different for 17 contractors. If it's an agency, we don't have that 18 relationship with other staff. The agency does.
59			
60			
61			
62			

1 **99:11-21**

2 11 MR ORGAN: Q. And what steps, if any, did
3 12 Tesla take to ensure that supervisors who were working
4 13 at the Fremont factory, who were not regular Tesla
5 14 employees but were contractors, that they received
6 15 adequate training on the issue of harassment?
7 16 **A. There's an expectation that Tesla has for**
8 17 **agencies. I mean, it's a legal compliance issue, as**
9 18 **far as making sure that these courses are being**
10 19 **administered, so we would expect that agencies, for**
11 20 **their employees, would be conducting a similar type**
12 21 **of, if not the same training.**

13
14
15 CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROUP
16 ALEXANDER MORRISON + FEHR LLP

17 DATED: September 30, 2021

18 By: /s/ Lawrence Organ
19 Lawrence A. Organ, Esq.
20 Navruz Avloni, Esq.
21 Cimone A. Nunley, Esq.
22 J. Bernard Alexander, Esq.
23 Attorneys for Plaintiff OWEN DIAZ
24
25
26
27
28